

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

HENDRICK HAYNES,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Defendant(s).

NO. C0-P

MINUTE ORDER

The following minute order is made by the direction of the court, the Honorable Marsha J. Pechman:

On November 27, 2006, Defendant United States filed a Motion to Stay Discovery Pending Resolution of Motion to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 12), which was noted for December 15, 2006. The motion falls under Local Civil Rule 7(d)(2) as a motion “for relief from deadline or limit imposed by an order...”

On December 4, 2006, Plaintiff filed “Plaintiff’s Response Praying Court to Deny United States’ ‘Motion. . . To. . . Stay’” (*sic*; Dkt. No. 13). The brief is 20 pages long, with 31 pages of attachments. Plaintiff is referred to Local Civil Rule 7(e)(2): “Motions noted under CR 7(d)(2) and briefs in opposition shall not exceed twelve pages.” Plaintiff did not submit a motion to file an overlength brief pursuant to CR 7(f).

The Court hereby STRIKES Plaintiff’s responsive pleading. Plaintiff is ordered to revise his

MINUTE ORDER

1 pleading to conform to the rule and re-file the amended pleading by no later than **December 11, 2006**.

2 Plaintiff is advised in advance that the Court will not entertain a motion for overlength brief.

3 Unless Defendant requests otherwise, the noting date of the motion to stay discovery will
4 remain on December 15, 2006.

5
6 Filed this 5th day of December, 2006.

7 BRUCE RIFKIN, Clerk

8
9 By /s Mary Duett
Deputy Clerk

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26 MINUTE ORDER